

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vippina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/083,380 02/27/2002 Masahiro Yoshimatsu 220082US2 4769 22850 05/30/2003 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET EXAMINER ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 BUDD, MARK OSBORNE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2834 DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The MAILING DATE of this communication at Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication	10/083,380 Examiner Mark Budd appears on the cover sheet w	YOSHIMATSU ET AL. Art Unit 2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication at Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the	Mark Budd	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the confidence of	Mark Budd appears on the cover sheet w	2024
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the confidence of	appears on the cover sheet w	1 2034
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the confidence of		vith the correspondence address
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reference in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a) In no event, however, may a septy within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
201 70 0 0 0 0		
-~ <i>/</i> LJ (his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	vance except for formal mat r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[Iters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ostroladiation,	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	
Application Papers	ordanor requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the	e Evaminor
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) he held in above	000 C 07 OFD 4 0
The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	Sapproved by the Examiner
approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ply to this Office action	rappiotod by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 13(a)-(a) or (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Ann	oligation No.
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application for a list of the priori application for a list of the priori application. Askerouse to the priori application for the priori application for a list of the priori application for the priori application for the priori application from the International Burn from the priori application from the International Burn from the International B	ty documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	on the certified copies not rec	Ceived.
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the second of the control of the co	visional applies the state of	119(e) (to a provisional application).
- I a made of a claim for domestic	risional application has been priority under 35 H S C SS	n received.
	99	; 120 and/or 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Sum 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/083,380

Art Unit: 2834

The following action replaces the paper mailed 5-3-03 and restarts the response period as per the telephone conversation with applicants attorney, Mr. Scafetta, on 5-21-03. The examiner apologizes for the inaccuracies in the former action.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-9, drawn to a piezoelectric vibrator, classified in class 310, subclass 323.02.
- II. Claims 10-19, drawn to a method of manufacturing a piezoelectric device, classified in class 29, subclass 25.35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the piezoelectric vibrator of Group I can be made by methods other than those of Group II, e.,g. Each resonator could be individually constructed thus eliminating the "dividing" step.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2834

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Budd/ds

05/23/03

PRIMERY EXAMINED